

Needs Analysis Report

DEMOCRACYWISE projectFunded by
the European Union

Date: Nov 30, 2024

Lead Beneficiary: Lighthouse Languages

Prepared by: Letizia Tessicini

Beneficiary Legal Rep.: Angelo Ciocchetti

Summary



The DEMOCRACYWISE needs analysis represents a comprehensive examination of democratic perceptions and practices across seven diverse European nations: Italy, Cyprus, Ireland, Spain, Portugal, Poland, and Hungary. By gathering data from over 50 participants in each country, this analysis captures a multifaceted view of the democratic landscape, revealing both shared challenges and unique national contexts.

One of the most pressing issues identified is the lack of citizen participation in democratic processes. Many individuals feel disconnected from political decision-making, leading to apathy and disengagement. This disconnect is often exacerbated by institutional barriers that make it difficult for citizens, especially those from marginalized communities, to voice their opinions and contribute to discussions that affect their lives. The analysis underscores the urgent need for mechanisms that empower citizens and encourage active involvement in democracy.

Low transparency and accountability within governmental and political institutions emerged as another critical challenge. Participants expressed concerns about opaque decision-making processes and the difficulty in holding elected officials accountable for their actions. This lack of transparency breeds cynicism and diminishes public trust, further alienating citizens from their democratic systems.

The exclusion of marginalized groups also surfaced as a significant issue across all surveyed nations. Many participants pointed out that certain demographics—such as ethnic minorities, immigrants, and economically disadvantaged individuals—often face barriers to participation in

political life. This exclusion not only undermines the principle of equality but also deprives democracies of diverse perspectives and experiences that could enhance policy-making and societal cohesion.

Mistrust in media was another focal point of the analysis. Participants highlighted concerns regarding media bias, sensationalism, and the perceived failure of news outlets to provide accurate and reliable information. This mistrust can lead to a fragmented public discourse, where individuals turn to alternative sources that may perpetuate misinformation, further complicating the democratic landscape.

The pervasive impact of disinformation is a challenge that looms large in the current political climate. The spread of false information—often amplified through social media—creates confusion and fosters division among citizens. This phenomenon poses a direct threat to informed decision-making, a cornerstone of effective democratic processes.

In response to these challenges, the proposed actions aim to enhance participatory mechanisms that facilitate greater engagement from all citizens. This could involve the implementation of community forums, digital platforms for public input, and initiatives that promote civic education, ensuring that individuals understand their rights and the importance of their participation in democracy.

Promoting inclusivity is also a priority, with recommendations to develop outreach programs aimed at marginalized groups. By actively seeking their input and incorporating their perspectives into the political discourse, democracies can create a more equitable environment where everyone feels valued and heard.

Increasing transparency and accountability is essential for rebuilding trust in political institutions. This could involve the adoption of measures such as open data initiatives, regular public reporting on government activities, and mechanisms that allow citizens to hold their leaders accountable through petitions and referendums.

Rebuilding trust in media is another critical objective. Encouraging journalistic integrity, supporting independent media outlets, and promoting media literacy among citizens can help combat misinformation and foster a more informed electorate.

Finally, combating disinformation requires a multifaceted approach that includes fact-checking initiatives, collaboration between tech companies and governments, and educational campaigns aimed at promoting critical thinking skills among the public.

Collectively, these proposed measures aim to strengthen democratic processes and foster resilient, engaged communities across Europe. By addressing the identified challenges, the DEMOCRACYWISE initiative seeks to create an environment where democracy thrives, and citizens are empowered to play an active role in shaping their societies.

Introduction



The DEMOCRACYWISE project undertook a comprehensive cross-national survey designed to delve deep into citizens' experiences and perceptions regarding democracy in their respective countries. This ambitious initiative aims to shed light on various critical aspects that shape the democratic landscape, providing a nuanced understanding of how individuals interact with and perceive democratic institutions and processes.

One of the primary focuses of the analysis is to assess the current state of democracy within local communities. This involves evaluating not only the formal structures of democratic governance but also the informal practices that influence citizens' engagement and satisfaction. By gathering data on how local governance functions and the responsiveness of elected officials to community needs, the project aims to paint a detailed picture of democracy at the grassroots level.



DEMOCRACYWISE

As part of the DEMOCRACYWISE project, we are conducting this survey to better understand how local communities perceive democracy, engage in democratic processes, and experience the impact of disinformation. Our goal is to gather insights that will help us foster more informed, inclusive, and active civic participation. By identifying community needs and challenges, we aim to create targeted initiatives that empower citizens to critically engage with media, combat disinformation, and strengthen democratic values. Your participation is crucial in helping us shape a more resilient and informed society.

In addition to this, the survey explores the levels of trust that citizens place in decision-makers and the media. Trust is a cornerstone of functioning democracies; without it, public cooperation and civic engagement can falter. The analysis seeks to uncover the factors that contribute to or detract from public trust, examining how perceptions of transparency, accountability, and media integrity impact citizens' confidence in their leaders and information sources. This understanding is crucial for fostering an environment where informed citizen participation can thrive.

Another significant area of focus is the identification of the impacts of disinformation on democratic processes. In today's digital age, the spread of misinformation poses a serious threat to informed decision-making and civic engagement. The project aims to analyze how disinformation affects public discourse, influences voter behavior, and undermines the integrity of democratic institutions. By understanding these dynamics, the project can contribute to developing strategies to combat misinformation and enhance the quality of public debate.

Moreover, the DEMOCRACYWISE project highlights areas for improvement in inclusiveness and civic participation. It recognizes that democracy is most effective when all voices are heard and valued. The analysis seeks to identify barriers that prevent marginalized groups from participating fully in democratic processes and to propose mechanisms that can enhance inclusivity. This commitment to inclusiveness is vital for ensuring that democracy reflects the diverse perspectives and needs of society as a whole.

Through the multifaceted analysis of these areas, the DEMOCRACYWISE project aspires to uncover actionable insights that can lead to meaningful improvements in democratic processes. By addressing the challenges identified in the survey, the project aims to strengthen citizens' trust in governance and foster a more vibrant, participatory democracy. Ultimately, the findings will serve as a vital resource for policymakers, civil society organizations, and community leaders dedicated to enhancing democratic engagement and ensuring that democracy works for everyone.

Methodology and Target



Survey Target Audience

The needs analysis surveys were designed to gather insights from a diverse cross-section of individuals across seven European nations: Italy, Cyprus, Ireland, Spain, Portugal, Poland, and Hungary. The participants were primarily members of local communities, representing a wide range of age groups, educational backgrounds, and socioeconomic statuses. This diverse

demographic sampling was intended to ensure that the findings reflect a broad spectrum of perspectives, capturing the unique challenges and priorities faced by various groups within each country.

Special emphasis was placed on reaching individuals who are often underrepresented in democratic processes, such as:

- **Young people**, whose voices are critical for shaping the future of democracy.
- **Marginalized groups**, including ethnic minorities, women, and individuals with disabilities, to highlight barriers to inclusivity.
- **General citizens**, ensuring the survey results provide a holistic view of public sentiment regarding governance and democratic values.

Section 1: Democracy Perception

How would you rate the state of democracy in your community? *

12345

Very weakVery strong

In your opinion, what are the most important aspects of a healthy democracy? *

(Check all that apply)

☐ Free and fair elections

☐ Freedom of speech

☐ Independent media

☐ Active citizen participation

☐ Accountability of public officials

☐ Rule of law

☐ Altro:

Survey Dissemination Channels

To maximize reach and engagement, a multi-pronged dissemination strategy was employed:

1. **Local community networks:**
 - Collaborations with community organizations and local leaders facilitated direct outreach to participants within neighborhoods and grassroots networks.

- This approach ensured participation from those who may not typically engage with formal or online surveys.
2. **Social media platforms:**
 - The surveys were promoted across major social media channels, targeting users through tailored messaging and advertisements.
 - This approach proved effective in reaching younger demographics and tech-savvy individuals who are active in online spaces.
 3. **Project website:**
 - The DEMOCRACYWISE project website hosted the surveys, providing an easily accessible and centralized platform for participants to contribute their responses.
 - The site also included detailed information about the project's objectives and the importance of citizen input, encouraging greater participation.
 4. **Direct contact and outreach:**
 - Email campaigns and direct messaging were employed to engage stakeholders, including civic organizations, educational institutions, and advocacy groups.
 - Personalized communication helped establish trust and incentivized participation among key groups.

By leveraging these varied channels, the project ensured broad and inclusive participation, capturing the voices of both engaged citizens and those who are less involved in civic activities. This strategic outreach contributed to the robustness and reliability of the data collected, providing a comprehensive foundation for the analysis.



The surveys were designed to be user-friendly and accessible, employing a combination of quantitative and qualitative questions to capture both statistical data and nuanced insights. Key methodological features included:

- **Structured questions:** participants rated various aspects of democracy on scales to provide measurable data.
- **Open-ended responses:** opportunities for participants to elaborate on their views, ensuring that unique perspectives and localized challenges were documented.
- **Anonymous participation:** to encourage honesty and openness, all responses were collected anonymously, protecting the privacy of participants.
- **Multilingual support:** surveys were translated into local languages, removing barriers for non-native speakers and ensuring inclusivity.

The combination of diverse dissemination strategies and a thoughtfully designed survey ensured that the analysis was representative of the realities faced by communities across the participating nations.

Key Findings

Samples of results

1. Citizen Participation

Question: Do you feel your voice is heard in local decision-making?

<u>Response</u>	<u>Male (18-30)</u>	<u>Male (31-50)</u>	<u>Male (51+)</u>	<u>Female (18-30)</u>	<u>Female (31-50)</u>	<u>Female (51+)</u>	<u>Non-Binary (All Ages)</u>
<u>Always</u>	30%	20%	15%	25%	15%	10%	2%
<u>Sometimes</u>	50%	60%	45%	55%	60%	40%	5%
<u>Never</u>	20%	20%	40%	20%	25%	50%	1%

2. Transparency and Accountability

Question: How transparent do you think local government decisions are?

<u>Response</u>	<u>Male (18-30)</u>	<u>Male (31-50)</u>	<u>Male (51+)</u>	<u>Female (18-30)</u>	<u>Female (31-50)</u>	<u>Female (51+)</u>	<u>Non-Binary (All Ages)</u>
<u>Very Transparent</u>	15%	10%	8%	10%	7%	5%	1%
<u>Somewhat Transparent</u>	40%	35%	25%	45%	40%	30%	2%
<u>Not Transparent</u>	45%	55%	67%	45%	53%	65%	2%

3. Inclusivity in Democracy

Question: Do you feel marginalized groups are well-represented in governance?

<u>Response</u>	<u>Male (18-30)</u>	<u>Male (31-50)</u>	<u>Male (51+)</u>	<u>Female (18-30)</u>	<u>Female (31-50)</u>	<u>Female (51+)</u>	<u>Non-Binary (All Ages)</u>
<u>Yes</u>	35%	25%	15%	30%	22%	10%	2%
<u>No</u>	40%	50%	65%	50%	58%	70%	5%
<u>Unsure</u>	25%	25%	20%	20%	20%	20%	1%

4. Trust in Media

Question: How much trust do you place in local media?

<u>Response</u>	<u>Male (18-30)</u>	<u>Male (31-50)</u>	<u>Male (51+)</u>	<u>Female (18-30)</u>	<u>Female (31-50)</u>	<u>Female (51+)</u>	<u>Non-Binary (All Ages)</u>
<u>High Trust</u>	25%	20%	15%	22%	18%	12%	2%
<u>Moderate Trust</u>	40%	40%	30%	45%	42%	25%	3%
<u>Low Trust</u>	35%	40%	55%	33%	40%	63%	1%

5. Combating Disinformation

Question: How significant is disinformation as a problem in your community?

<u>Response</u>	<u>Male (18-30)</u>	<u>Male (31-50)</u>	<u>Male (51+)</u>	<u>Female (18-30)</u>	<u>Female (31-50)</u>	<u>Female (51+)</u>	<u>Non-Binary (All Ages)</u>
<u>Very Significant</u>	60%	65%	70%	65%	68%	75%	5%
<u>Somewhat Significant</u>	30%	25%	20%	30%	25%	20%	2%
<u>Not Significant</u>	10%	10%	10%	5%	7%	5%	1%

Discussion of results

1. State of Democracy in Local Communities

Most participants rated their local democracy between 2 and 3 on a scale from 1 (Very Poor) to 5 (Excellent). This reflects dissatisfaction with current systems of governance.

- **Common Issues Identified:**

- Inefficiencies in governance.
- Limited transparency in decision-making.
- A perception that democratic institutions fail to serve marginalized communities.

2. Democratic Values

Respondents emphasized the following as essential democratic principles:

- Free and fair elections.
- Freedom of speech and expression.
- Transparency and accountability in governance.
- Independent media as a pillar of democracy.

However, many felt these values were not being fully realized in their communities.

3. Citizen Participation

A recurring theme was the lack of meaningful opportunities for civic engagement:

- **Voices Not Heard:** Most respondents felt their voices were either "sometimes" or "never" heard in local decision-making.
- **Suggestions:**
 - Implement structured public forums and citizen councils.
 - Create digital tools for direct citizen-government interaction.

4. Transparency and Accountability

Participants reported moderate to low confidence in the transparency of local institutions:

- **Concerns Raised:**
 - Decisions often lack public scrutiny.
 - Politicians are perceived as only partially accountable.
- **Desired Improvements:**
 - Greater access to information on governance and budgeting.
 - Mechanisms to evaluate and report on politicians' performance.

5. Inclusiveness in Democracy

There is a significant perception of exclusion, particularly among marginalized groups such as ethnic minorities, women, and young people:

- Respondents called for tailored policies to ensure the representation of diverse perspectives in governance.
- **Barriers Identified:**
 - Cultural and language barriers.
 - Insufficient outreach to disadvantaged communities.

6. Trust in Media

Low trust in local and national media emerged as a critical issue:

- **Challenges:**
 - Perceived bias and sensationalism in reporting.
 - Dependence on unreliable social media for news.

7. Disinformation

Disinformation is widely recognized as a major problem, particularly through social media platforms.

- **Impacts:**
 - Erosion of trust in democratic institutions.
 - Confusion among citizens regarding credible sources of information.

What kind of support or resources would encourage you to participate more in local democratic processes? *

- ☐ More information on how to participate
- ☐ Easier access to participation channels (e.g., online voting, public forums)
- ☐ Better transparency in decision-making
- ☐ Stronger representation of my community's needs
- ☐ Altro: _____

Key Findings



1. State of Democracy in Local Communities

Most participants rated their local democracy between 2 and 3 on a scale from 1 (Very Poor) to 5 (Excellent). This reflects dissatisfaction with current systems of governance.

- **Common Issues Identified:**

- Inefficiencies in governance.
- Limited transparency in decision-making.
- A perception that democratic institutions fail to serve marginalized communities.

2. Democratic Values

Respondents emphasized the following as essential democratic principles:

- Free and fair elections.
- Freedom of speech and expression.
- Transparency and accountability in governance.
- Independent media as a pillar of democracy.

However, many felt these values were not being fully realized in their communities.

3. Citizen Participation

A recurring theme was the lack of meaningful opportunities for civic engagement:

- **Voices Not Heard:** Most respondents felt their voices were either "sometimes" or "never" heard in local decision-making.
- **Suggestions:**
 - Implement structured public forums and citizen councils.
 - Create digital tools for direct citizen-government interaction.

4. Transparency and Accountability

Participants reported moderate to low confidence in the transparency of local institutions:

- **Concerns Raised:**
 - Decisions often lack public scrutiny.
 - Politicians are perceived as only partially accountable.
- **Desired Improvements:**
 - Greater access to information on governance and budgeting.
 - Mechanisms to evaluate and report on politicians' performance.

5. Inclusiveness in Democracy

There is a significant perception of exclusion, particularly among marginalized groups such as ethnic minorities, women, and young people:

- Respondents called for tailored policies to ensure the representation of diverse perspectives in governance.
- **Barriers Identified:**
 - Cultural and language barriers.
 - Insufficient outreach to disadvantaged communities.

6. Trust in Media

Low trust in local and national media emerged as a critical issue:

- **Challenges:**
 - Perceived bias and sensationalism in reporting.
 - Dependence on unreliable social media for news.

7. Disinformation

Disinformation is widely recognized as a major problem, particularly through social media platforms.

- **Impacts:**
 - Erosion of trust in democratic institutions.
 - Confusion among citizens regarding credible sources of information.

2. Jakie są Twoim zdaniem najważniejsze aspekty zdrowej demokracji? *

- ☐ Wolne i uczciwe wybory
- ☐ Wolność słowa
- ☐ Niezależne media
- ☐ Aktywny udział obywateli
- ☐ Odpowiedzialność funkcjonariuszy publicznych
- ☐ Praworządność
- ☐ Other...

Emerging Needs



1. Strengthening Citizen Participation

Respondents across the surveyed countries expressed frustration with their limited involvement in local and national decision-making processes. This gap between citizens and governing bodies has led to a sense of disconnection and disempowerment.

Challenges Identified:

- **Lack of platforms for engagement:** most communities lack structured, accessible mechanisms such as public forums or digital platforms that allow citizens to express their views and influence decisions.
- **Tokenism in consultation processes:** when consultations occur, they are often perceived as symbolic, with little impact on actual policy outcomes.
- **Barriers to participation:** time constraints, bureaucratic complexity, and a lack of awareness about available opportunities hinder broader citizen involvement.

Why It Matters:

Citizen participation is a cornerstone of democracy, ensuring that policies reflect diverse community needs and priorities. Without meaningful participation, governance risks becoming detached from the realities and aspirations of the people it serves.

Proposed Actions:

- **Establish citizen assemblies:** these representative bodies can deliberate on key issues and provide recommendations to policymakers.
- **Develop digital tools:** platforms like mobile apps or online portals can make it easier for citizens to submit feedback, track decisions, and engage in discussions.
- **Promote civic education:** workshops and campaigns can equip citizens with the knowledge and skills to engage effectively in democratic processes.
- **Incentivize participation:** offer rewards, recognition, or small financial stipends to encourage involvement in community decision-making.

2. Enhancing Transparency and Accountability

A lack of transparency and accountability in governance emerged as a key issue undermining public trust. Respondents expressed concerns about opaque decision-making, limited access to information, and the absence of mechanisms to hold officials responsible for their actions.

Challenges Identified:

- **Opaque budgeting and policy decisions:** citizens often have little to no visibility into how public funds are allocated or how decisions are made.
- **Weak oversight mechanisms:** many respondents were unaware of any systems in place to track or evaluate the performance of public officials.
- **Perception of corruption:** a lack of transparency fuels suspicions of favoritism, nepotism, and misuse of power.

Why It Matters:

Transparency and accountability are critical to ensuring that governments act in the public interest. When citizens can scrutinize decisions and hold leaders accountable, trust in institutions is strengthened, and corruption is reduced.

Proposed Actions:

- **Mandate open data policies:** require governments to publish detailed records of budgets, contracts, and decision-making processes in accessible formats.
- **Create oversight bodies:** independent agencies can monitor and evaluate the transparency and accountability of local and national governments.
- **Introduce performance dashboards:** track and publicly display progress on key government initiatives and officials' commitments.
- **Enforce whistleblower protections:** encourage individuals to report unethical or corrupt behavior without fear of reprisal.

3. Fostering Inclusivity

Inclusivity was identified as a significant gap in democratic practices, with many respondents feeling that marginalized groups—such as women, ethnic minorities, and young people—are not adequately represented or considered in decision-making processes.

Challenges Identified:

- **Structural barriers:** existing political and institutional structures often exclude certain groups, either unintentionally or by design.
- **Cultural and language barriers:** ethnic minorities and non-native speakers frequently face additional challenges accessing information and participating in governance.
- **Youth Disengagement:** younger generations often feel disconnected from traditional democratic institutions, perceiving them as outdated and irrelevant.

Why It Matters:

Democracies thrive when they reflect the diversity of the populations they serve. Fostering inclusivity ensures that all voices are heard and that policies address the needs of the entire community, not just a select few.

Proposed Actions:

- **Implement Quotas for Representation:** Set targets to ensure balanced participation of women, minorities, and youth in political and decision-making bodies.
- **Expand Multilingual Resources:** Provide materials and information in multiple languages to reach diverse populations.
- **Create Youth Advisory Councils:** Engage young people directly in governance by establishing dedicated platforms for their input.
- **Train Community Leaders:** Offer training programs to empower individuals from marginalized communities to take on leadership roles.

4. Rebuilding Trust in Media

Trust in both traditional and social media is low, with respondents citing concerns about bias, sensationalism, and the influence of external agendas. Many expressed difficulty distinguishing reliable sources from those spreading disinformation or prioritizing entertainment over factual reporting.

Challenges Identified:

- **Perceived bias in mainstream media:** traditional outlets are often seen as serving political or corporate interests rather than presenting impartial information.
- **Rise of social media dependence:** citizens increasingly rely on social platforms for news, despite widespread acknowledgment of their unreliability.
- **Lack of fact-based reporting:** sensational headlines and unverified claims dominate the media landscape, eroding public trust.

Why It Matters:

A free, independent, and trustworthy media is essential for an informed citizenry and a healthy democracy. Without it, misinformation can spread unchecked, and public discourse becomes polarized.

Proposed Actions:

- **Support Independent Journalism:** Provide grants and resources to media outlets committed to impartial, fact-based reporting.
- **Promote Media Literacy:** Launch educational programs that teach citizens how to evaluate the credibility of news sources.
- **Establish Standards for Ethical Reporting:** Develop guidelines and certification programs for media organizations that adhere to high journalistic standards.
- **Encourage Public Media Participation:** Involve citizens in media creation through community radio stations, public access channels, or collaborative online platforms.

5. Combating Disinformation

Disinformation is a pervasive challenge that undermines trust in institutions, distorts public discourse, and hinders citizens' ability to make informed decisions. Social media platforms were frequently identified as the primary vector for spreading false information.

Challenges Identified:

- **Widespread misinformation:** respondents highlighted the difficulty of discerning credible information amidst the volume of false narratives online.
- **Algorithmic amplification:** social media platforms often promote sensational or misleading content for engagement, further spreading disinformation.
- **Lack of critical thinking skills:** many citizens lack the tools to critically assess the validity of information they encounter.

Why It Matters:

Disinformation not only erodes trust in democratic institutions but also creates divisions within communities, making it harder to build consensus on critical issues. Addressing this issue is vital for safeguarding democracy.

Proposed Actions:

- **Collaborate with fact-checking organizations:** develop partnerships to quickly identify and debunk false narratives.
- **Regulate social media platforms:** Enforce stricter content moderation policies and demand transparency in algorithms that amplify information.
- **Educate citizens:** introduce critical thinking and media literacy courses in schools and community centers.
- **Launch awareness campaigns:** highlight the dangers of disinformation and equip citizens with tools to identify and counter it.



Proposed Actions



1. Citizen Participation

Strengthening citizen participation is crucial to bridging the gap between governance and the public. These actions aim to create accessible, meaningful opportunities for citizens to engage directly with decision-makers and contribute to shaping policies.

Detailed Actions:

- **Establish participatory mechanisms:**
 - Create citizens' assemblies, composed of randomly selected members representing diverse demographics, to deliberate on specific policy issues and provide recommendations.
 - Host public forums in both urban and rural areas to ensure inclusivity, where citizens can directly share concerns and ideas with government representatives.
 - Conduct regular surveys and consultations to gather public opinion on critical matters, ensuring that citizens' voices are heard and valued in policymaking processes.
- **Leverage digital platforms:**
 - Develop and deploy real-time interaction tools such as apps or online portals that allow citizens to provide feedback, track progress on initiatives, and participate in virtual discussions with decision-makers.
 - Integrate data visualization dashboards into these platforms, displaying key metrics on governance to keep citizens informed and engaged.
 - Ensure these digital tools are accessible to individuals with disabilities and available in multiple languages to maximize reach and inclusivity.

2. Transparency and Accountability

Improving transparency and accountability in governance is vital to rebuilding trust between citizens and institutions. Clear mechanisms for public oversight and information sharing are necessary to ensure that governments operate in the public interest.

Detailed Actions:

- **Require publication of records:**
 - Mandate the publication of government decisions, budgets, and expenditures in user-friendly formats accessible through open data portals.
 - Include periodic updates on project timelines, costs, and outcomes to provide transparency in the execution of public policies.
 - Translate key documents into multiple languages to ensure all citizens can access and understand the information.
- **Create oversight committees:**
 - Establish independent oversight bodies tasked with monitoring government actions, investigating misconduct, and publishing regular reports on institutional accountability.
 - Empower these committees to act on citizen complaints, facilitating a direct channel for addressing governance concerns.

- Ensure the committees are composed of diverse stakeholders, including civil society organizations, academics, and legal experts, to maintain impartiality.

3. Inclusivity

Fostering inclusivity ensures that all segments of society, particularly marginalized groups, are represented and engaged in democratic processes. This requires tailored policies, targeted outreach, and resources that break down barriers to participation.

Detailed Actions:

- **Engage marginalized groups:**
 - Develop programs that proactively involve ethnic minorities, women, youth, and individuals with disabilities in decision-making processes.
 - Provide outreach in multiple languages and formats, including audio and visual aids, to accommodate different needs and preferences.
 - Organize community events and workshops that connect underrepresented groups with policymakers and other stakeholders.
- **Provide training and empowerment initiatives:**
 - Launch capacity-building programs that equip underrepresented communities with the skills and confidence needed to participate in governance.
 - Offer leadership training for women, young people, and minority representatives to encourage greater representation in political and civic roles.
 - Create mentorship opportunities pairing experienced leaders with individuals from marginalized backgrounds to foster growth and participation.

4. Trust in Media

Restoring public trust in media requires a focus on ethical journalism, independent reporting, and public education about media consumption. A credible media ecosystem is essential for informed civic engagement and robust democratic discourse.

Detailed Actions:

- **Support independent media:**
 - Provide financial support and training programs for independent media organizations, particularly in regions where press freedom is under threat.
 - Establish journalistic integrity awards to recognize and incentivize unbiased and ethical reporting.
 - Create legal protections for investigative journalists to ensure their work can be conducted without fear of reprisal.
- **Launch media literacy campaigns:**
 - Develop public education programs that teach citizens how to critically evaluate news sources, identify biases, and recognize misinformation.
 - Incorporate media literacy into school curriculums, ensuring that young people are equipped with the tools to navigate complex media landscapes.

- Utilize public service announcements and social media campaigns to raise awareness about the importance of consuming diverse and credible information.

5. Combating Disinformation

Disinformation is a pervasive threat that undermines democratic processes, erodes trust in institutions, and polarizes societies. Combating it requires a multifaceted approach involving regulation, education, and collaboration with digital platforms.

Detailed Actions:

- **Collaborate with fact-checking organizations:**
 - Partner with credible fact-checking groups to verify and refute false information, especially during election periods or public crises.
 - Create an open database of verified information where citizens can access accurate data on frequently misrepresented topics.
- **Work with social media platforms:**
 - Advocate for stricter content moderation policies that limit the spread of disinformation while preserving free speech.
 - Require platforms to label or remove false information, particularly when it has the potential to cause public harm.
- **Develop educational programs:**
 - Implement critical thinking workshops for adults and young people, emphasizing the importance of questioning sources and cross-checking information.
 - Provide free online courses on digital literacy, teaching citizens how to spot and counteract disinformation.
 - Collaborate with educators to create engaging lesson plans that simulate real-world scenarios involving misinformation.

The proposed actions aim to address the core challenges identified in the needs analysis, ranging from low citizen participation to the harmful impacts of disinformation. By fostering greater engagement, transparency, inclusivity, trust in media, and resilience against false information, these measures provide a robust framework for strengthening democracy in diverse European contexts.

Conclusions



The DEMOCRACYWISE needs analysis provides a comprehensive overview of the critical challenges undermining democratic governance across the surveyed nations. These challenges reflect deep-seated issues that threaten the stability, effectiveness, and inclusivity of democratic

systems. However, they also highlight opportunities for transformative change, provided that proactive and targeted measures are implemented.

Fostering Trust in Democratic Systems

Trust is the foundation upon which democracy thrives. Citizens' confidence in their institutions, leaders, and processes is essential for societal cohesion and effective governance. The findings reveal a pervasive lack of trust fueled by limited transparency, insufficient accountability, and a disconnect between citizens and policymakers. Addressing this distrust requires systemic reforms to make governance more open, responsive, and aligned with public expectations. By enhancing transparency through accessible public records and robust oversight mechanisms, democratic institutions can begin to rebuild the trust necessary for a healthy and functioning democracy.

Encouraging Active Citizen Participation

One of the most significant gaps identified in the analysis is the lack of opportunities for meaningful citizen engagement. When citizens feel excluded from decision-making processes, apathy and disengagement can take root, weakening the democratic fabric. Effective participatory mechanisms, such as citizens' assemblies and digital platforms for real-time engagement, can empower individuals to play an active role in shaping policies that affect their lives. These initiatives not only strengthen democracy but also foster a sense of ownership and responsibility among citizens, creating a more vibrant and inclusive political culture.

Enhancing Resilience to Disinformation

Disinformation poses a unique and pressing challenge to modern democracies. Its ability to distort public discourse, polarize societies, and erode trust in institutions is well-documented. The analysis underscores the urgent need to combat this threat through education, regulation, and collaboration with digital platforms. By improving media literacy and critical thinking skills among citizens, societies can become more resilient to the manipulative tactics employed by purveyors of false information. Furthermore, partnerships with fact-checking organizations and stricter regulation of social media platforms can help curb the spread of disinformation, ensuring that public debates are informed by facts rather than falsehoods.

Promoting Inclusivity in Decision-Making

Democracies cannot succeed without inclusivity. The analysis highlights the perception of significant gaps in representation, particularly for marginalized groups such as women, ethnic minorities, young people, and individuals with disabilities. These groups often face systemic barriers that prevent them from participating fully in democratic processes. Addressing these barriers requires deliberate efforts to create equitable opportunities for engagement, tailored programs to amplify marginalized voices, and structural reforms to ensure fair representation in decision-making bodies. Inclusivity is not merely a moral imperative—it is a practical necessity for creating policies that reflect the diverse needs and aspirations of society as a whole.

The Path Forward

The challenges identified in the DEMOCRACYWISE analysis are not insurmountable. On the contrary, they provide a roadmap for action that, if followed, can reinvigorate democratic governance and address the root causes of discontent among citizens. Key steps include:

- Strengthening institutions through transparency and accountability tools.
- Promote civic education to foster a culture of active participation and critical thinking.
- Building partnerships with civil society, media, educational agencies, and public authorities to address complex issues like inclusivity and disinformation.
- Encouraging innovation in governance by adopting participatory tools and mechanisms that make democracy more accessible and responsive.

By implementing these measures, the DEMOCRACYWISE project can position itself as a catalyst for positive change across Europe. Its work will not only address immediate concerns but also contribute to the long-term resilience and adaptability of democratic systems in an increasingly complex world.

A Call to Action

The findings of this analysis make it clear that democracy is at a crossroads. While the challenges are significant, so too are the opportunities for meaningful change. Policymakers, civil society organizations, and citizens must work collaboratively to address these issues and create a stronger, more inclusive, and more responsive democratic framework. The DEMOCRACYWISE project has the potential to lead this effort, inspiring both governments and communities to embrace reforms that strengthen the democratic ideals at the heart of European society.

This is not just a moment to address deficiencies—it is an opportunity to reimagine and reinvigorate democracy for future generations.

Follow us



🌐 **Democracywise Project**

🌐 **DEMOCRACYWISE PROJECT (@democracywise) • Instagram pho...**

🌐 **DEMOCRACYWISE Project**

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European

Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.



**Funded by
the European Union**